Advances in the theory and experiments of spin spectroscopy

Ferenc Simon

TU-Budapest, Institute of Physics





Spin spectroscopy-Electron spin resonance



First CESR on Na: Griswold, Kip, Kittel, PR 88, 951 (1952).

Measurables:

Intensity

Width

Resonance field/frequency

$$\chi_{\text{Pauli}} = \frac{1}{4} \mu_0 g^2 \mu_{\text{B}}^2 D(\epsilon_{\text{F}})$$
$$\Delta B = 1/\gamma T_1$$
$$\Delta g = g - g_0, \ g_0 = 2.0023$$



Phenomenology, Bloch Equations



What is relaxation time?

	Bloch notation	Historic name	Modern name	Physical origin
T ₁	Longitudinal	spin-lattice	Spin-relaxation	Energy transfer/ Fluctuating SOC
T ₂	Transversal	spin-spin	Decoherence Irreversible decoherence	Like-spin change/ Motional narrowing+ Scattering/ Fluctuating SOC
T ₂ *	non-Gaussian	Inhomogeneous broadening	Dephasing Reversible decoherence	Inhomogeneities, defects

Hierarchy: $T_2^* < T_2 \le T_1$ in isotropic systems: $T_1 = T_2 = \tau_s$



Types of internal E field:
Intrinsic (atomic) $E_{n,t+1}$ Dresselhause.g. GaAsBychkov-Rashbagating fieldProximityheterolayers

$$E_{n,l+\frac{1}{2}} - E_{n,l-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{mc^2}{2} \frac{\alpha^4 Z^4}{n^3 l(l+1)}$$

Role of inversion symmetry

Time reversal: $k,\uparrow>$ and $-k,\downarrow>$ are always degenerate *even with SOC*



internal magnetic field



Elliott-Yafet 1st order pert. theory

 $(\Omega_1)^{(1)} (\Omega_2)^{(1)} (\Omega_3)^{(1)} (\Omega_3$

Dy'akonov Perel' "motional narrowing"

The Elliott-Yafet theory: Without SOC pure spin up/down states



$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 & 0 & L_{k} \\ 0 & -h & L_{k}^{*} & 0 \\ 0 & L_{k} & \Delta + h & 0 \\ L_{k}^{*} & 0 & 0 & \Delta - h \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left|\widetilde{+}\right\rangle_{\mathbf{k}} = \left[a_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\mathbf{r}\right)\left|+\right\rangle + b_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\mathbf{r}\right)\left|-\right\rangle\right]e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}}$$

$$\frac{b_{\mathbf{k}}}{a_{\mathbf{k}}} \propto \frac{L}{\Delta E}$$

SOC mixes spin up/down states

Time dependent perturbation theory:

$$\Gamma_{\rm s} = \alpha \frac{L^2}{\Delta^2} \Gamma$$

Proportional resistivity and ESR width!

The Dy'akonov-Perel' theory: internal fields



SOC $(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \varepsilon(k) \rightarrow B(k) \rightarrow \Omega(k)$



Condition: $<\Omega>\tau << 1$ or $\mathcal{L} << \Gamma$

Result:
$$\Gamma_{\rm s} = \alpha \frac{\mathcal{L}^2}{\Gamma}$$

NATURE VOL 410 1 MARCH 2001 63



Anomalous spin-lattice relaxation (or line-width) in MgB₂



F. Simon et al. PRL 87, 047002 (2001).

Anomaly appears above 150 K

No magnetic field-

No thermal history dependence

No purity, no isotope, No sample type dependence

It is a true electronic effect

Reproduced by *Rettori et al. 2001 Monod et al. 2001*

The generalized Elliott-Yafet theory FS et al. PRL **101**, 177003 (2008).

In EY: τ does not play a role, treated to lowest order

For elemental metals $\Delta \approx 10 \text{ eV}$ $\Gamma = 2\pi k_{\text{B}}T\lambda \approx 6 \text{ meV}$

 $\lambda = 0.1$ electron-phonon coupling at T = 100 K

$$\Gamma_{\rm spin} = \left\langle \frac{L^2}{\Delta^2} \Gamma \right\rangle_{\rm FS}$$

$$\Gamma_{\rm spin} = \left\langle \frac{L^2}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2} \Gamma \right\rangle_{\rm FS}$$

400 K-en, Γ =0.24 eV Large EPC! Where is the small gap?



The generalized treatment of EY and DP



The model: 2 DEG



$$L_{\alpha,\alpha',s,s'}\left(k\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathscr{L} & 0 & L \\ \mathscr{L}^{\dagger} & 0 & L^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & L & 0 & \mathscr{L} \\ L^{\dagger} & 0 & \mathscr{L}^{\dagger} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

L: interband *I*: intraband matrix elements

Dynamic spin susceptibility:

$$I(\omega) = \frac{B_{\perp}^2 \omega}{2\mu_0} \chi_{\perp}^{\prime\prime} \left(q = 0, \omega\right) V$$

$$\Gamma_{\rm s} = \frac{4\Gamma \left|\mathscr{L}\left(k_{\rm F}\right)\right|^2}{4\Gamma^2 + \Delta_{\rm Z}^2} + \frac{4\Gamma \left|L\left(k_{\rm F}\right)\right|^2}{4\Gamma^2 + \Delta^2\left(k_{\rm F}\right)}$$

Boross, Dóra, Kiss, Simon, Sci. Rep. 3, 3233 (2013).

The intuitive unification I.



$$H_{\rm DP} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{L}_k \\ \mathcal{L}_k^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



Add 2 virtual states+magnetic fieldTransform the states

$$H_{\rm DP, amended} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{L}_k & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{L}_k^* & \Delta_{\rm Z, k} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta_{\rm Z, k} & \mathcal{L}_k \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{L}_k^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

IJ	$A\uparrow A\downarrow$	$\begin{bmatrix} A\uparrow \\ 0 \\ L_k^* \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} A \downarrow \\ L_k \\ \Delta_k \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} B\uparrow\ 0\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{bmatrix} B \downarrow \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$n_{\rm EY,renamed} =$	$B\uparrow B\downarrow$	0 0	0 0	$\Delta_k \\ {L_k}^*$	$\begin{bmatrix} L_k \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

The intuitive unification II. How to obtain the EY result in the DP language?

$$H_{\rm EY} = \begin{array}{cccc} 1\uparrow & 1\downarrow & 2\uparrow & 2\downarrow \\ 1\uparrow & 0 & 0 & 0 & L_k \\ 1\downarrow & 0 & 0 & L_k^* & 0 \\ 2\uparrow & 2\downarrow & L_k^* & 0 & 0 & \Delta_k \end{array} \begin{vmatrix} |A\uparrow\rangle = |1 \\ |A\downarrow\rangle = |2 \\ |B\downarrow\rangle = |2 \\ |B\downarrow\rangle = |1 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 A \\
 A \\
 \downarrow \rangle \\
 \uparrow \rangle \\
 \downarrow \rangle
 \downarrow \rangle$$

$$H_{\text{EY,renamed}} = \begin{array}{ccc} A\uparrow & A\downarrow & B\uparrow & B\downarrow \\ A\uparrow & 0 & L_k & 0 & 0 \\ A\downarrow & A\downarrow & A\uparrow & 0 & 0 \\ L_k^* & \Delta_k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta_k & L_k \\ 0 & 0 & L_k^* & 0 \end{array}$$



$$\frac{1}{T_1} = (\overline{\omega_x^2} + \overline{\omega_y^2}) \frac{\tau_c}{\omega_0^2 \tau_c^2 + 1} \quad \text{(IV.36)}$$

J. Fabian *et al*. Acta Physica Slovaka 2007

$$\Gamma_{\rm s} = \alpha \frac{L^2}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2} \Gamma$$

Same as the quantum kinetics approach!

A Monte Carlo approach to Spin-Relaxation



A Monte Carlo approach to spin-relaxation Szolnoki, Sci. Rep. 2017

The model: **1.** Polarized spin ensemble 2. Evolution due to $\Omega(k)$ 3. New random k $\Gamma_{s}(\mu eV)$



GEY

EY

Conclusions

Forget the simple classifying phenomenology of EY and DP

Think about EY spin-flip as continuous precession

Think about DP as a half of an inversionally symmetric system

The MC is useful to tackle SR for both DP and EY for arbitrary Γ

Coworkers: Jaroslav Fabian, Uni Regensburg Balázs Dóra, Annamária Kiss, Lénárd Szolnoki,

Grants: ERC, HAS